## FLORIDA | Board of Optometry

**OFFICIAL MINUTES** November 17, 2017

Teleconference Department of Health 4042 Bald Cypress Way Tallahassee, FL 32399-3257



Stuart Kaplan, O.D., *Chair* Carl Spear, O.D., *Vice-Chair* Anthony Spivey, DBA, *Executive Director*  The Florida Board of Optometry held a meeting on Friday, November 17, 2017, commencing at 9:00 a.m. This meeting was held at the Department of Health, 4042 Bald Cypress Way, Tallahassee, Florida, at meet me number (888) 670-3525, participant code **7342425515**, to which all persons were invited to attend. Participants in this public meeting were made aware that these proceedings were being recorded and that an audio file of the meeting will be posted to the board's website.

#### AGENDA

| 1        |     | Section I began: 9:00 a.m.                   |
|----------|-----|--|
| 2        |     |  |
| 3        | I.  | CALL TO ORDER (Roll Call):                   |
| 4        |     |  |
| 5        |     | Stuart Kaplan, O.D., Chair                   |
| 6        |     | Carl Spear, O.D., Vice Chair                 |
| 7        |     | Tamara Maule, O.D.,                          |
| 8        |     | Stephen Kepley, O.D.                         |
| 9        |     | Christopher King, O.D., F.A.A.O.             |
| 10       |     | John Griffin, J.D.                           |
| 11       |     |  |
| 12       |     | Board Staff Present:                         |
| 13       |     |  |
| 14       |     | Dr. Anthony Spivey, DBA, Executive Director  |
| 15       |     | Gail Curry, Program Operations Administrator |
| 16       |     | Kelly Woodard, Regulatory Supervisor         |
| 17       |     | Nicole Wiley, Regulatory Specialist II       |
| 18       |     | Alisha Mughal, Regulatory Specialist II      |
| 19       |     | Keri Kilgore, Regulatory Specialist II       |
| 20       |     |  |
| 21       |     | Board Counsel:                               |
| 22       |     |  |
| 23       |     | Lawrence Harris, Assistant Attorney General  |
| 24       |     | Cassandra Fullove, Paralegal Specialist      |
| 25       |     |  |
| 26       |     | Prosecution Services:                        |
| 27       |     | Chailin Character at Connect Connect         |
| 28       |     | Cecilie Sykes, Assistant General Counsel     |
| 29<br>30 |     | Court Reporter:                              |
| 30<br>31 |     | Court Reporter:                              |
| 32       |     | For the Record                               |
| 33       |     | (850) 222-5491                               |
| 34       |     | (050) 222 5471                               |
| 35       |     | Section I ended: 9:03 a.m.                   |
| 36       |     | Section II began: 9:03 a.m.                  |
| 37       |     |  |
| 38       | II. | APPROVAL OF MINUTES                          |
| 39       | 11, |  |
| 40       |     | i. August 21, 2017                           |

| 41       |      | ii. August 28, 2017   |
|----------|------|---|
| 41       |      | n. August 20, 2017  |
| 43       |      | Dr. King addressed corrections to Line 126 by removing punctuation and Line 128 by  |
| 44       |      | adding verbiage. Dr. Maule addressed corrections to the cover page by indicating the  |
| 45       |      | correct Vice-Chair.   |
| 46       |      |   |
| 47       |      | Action: Motion to approve minutes (upon correction) made by Dr. King. Second by Dr.   |
| 48       |      | Kepley.   |
| 49       |      |   |
| 50       |      | <b>Vote:</b> 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.   |
| 51       |      |   |
| 52       |      | Section II ended: 9:07 a.m.   |
| 53       |      | Section III began: 9:07 a.m.  |
| 54       |      |   |
| 55       | III. | PETITION TO HEARING NOT INVOLVING DISPUTED ISSUES OF  |
| 56       |      | MATERIAL FACTS  |
| 57       |      |   |
| 58       |      | a. SECO International   |
| 59       |      |   |
| 60       |      | Leonard Carson, representing counsel for the Southern Council of Optometrists (SECO),   |
| 61       |      | presented a legal argument and facts regarding their approval of transcript quality courses   |
| 62       |      | for continuing education. Discussion ensued. Upon final review, Dr. Maule concluded   |
| 63       |      | that there was no indication in the application that it was a transcript quality course. Dr.  |
| 64       |      | Spear suggested contacting CE Broker for revisions to the website allowing confirmation   |
| 65<br>66 |      | of their approval requests.   |
| 67       |      | Action: Motion requesting a notice of intent to deny for the approval of the course by  |
| 67<br>68 |      | failing to submit the petition in a timely fashion made by Dr. King. Second by Mr.  |
| 69       |      | Griffin.  |
| 70       |      | ommi.   |
| 71       |      | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.  |
| 72       |      | voter o yeas, o opposed, modon earled.  |
| 73       |      | Action: Motion to accept the entire documentation and application file into evidence  |
| 74       |      | made by Dr. Kepley. Second by Dr. King.   |
| 75       |      |   |
| 76       |      | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.  |
| 77       |      |   |
| 78       |      | Action: Motion to adopt the findings of fact in the notice of intent to deny made by Dr.  |
| 79       |      | Spear. Second by Dr. King.  |
| 80       |      |   |
| 81       |      | <b>Vote:</b> 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.   |
| 82       |      |   |
| 83       |      | Action: Motion to deny petition for informal hearing made by Dr. Spear. Second by Dr.   |
| 84       |      | Maule.  |
| 85       |      | Vista (   |
| 86<br>87 |      | <b>Vote:</b> 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.   |
| 87<br>。。 |      | Action: Motion to grant the notition for variance/waiver of the transprint quality  |
| 88<br>89 |      | Action: Motion to grant the petition for variance/waiver of the transcript quality  |
| 89<br>90 |      | continuing education courses because the underlying statute has otherwise been met and<br>denying the petition would violate the principals of fairness made by Dr. Spear. Second |
| 90<br>91 |      | by Dr. Maule.   |
| 51       |      |   |

| 92<br>93  |            | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.  |
|---|------------|---|
| 93<br>94  |            | Section III ended: 9:50 a.m.  |
| 94<br>95  |            | Section IV began: 9:50 a.m.   |
|   |            | Section IV began: 9.50 a.m.   |
| 96<br>07  | IV.        | DETITIONS FOR VARIANCE OR WAIVED  |
| 97  | 1.         | PETITIONS FOR VARIANCE OR WAIVER  |
| 98  |            | i. Variance/Waiver  |
| 99<br>100   |            | i. Variance/ warver   |
| 100   |            | a Ami D. Coria  |
| 101<br>102  |            | a. Ami D. Casis   |
|   |            | Action. Motion amonded to deny notition and to table action on the light surgery application  |
| 103   |            | Action: Motion amended to deny petition and to table action on the licensure application  |
| 104   |            | until March 2018 meeting made by Dr. King. Second by Mr. Griffin.   |
| 105   |            | Victor (wash) and Mation comind   |
| 106   |            | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.  |
| 107   |            |   |
| 108   |            | b. Shahzad Irfan, M.D.  |
| 109   |            | A - 4   |
| 110   |            | Action: Motion to deny the petition because petitioner is requesting variance/waiver of a   |
| 111   |            | statute made by Mr. Griffin. Second by Dr. Kepley.  |
| 112   |            | Victor Course ( ) Marine and int  |
| 113   |            | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.  |
| 114   |            |   |
| 115   |            | Section IV ended: 10:04 a.m.  |
| 116<br>117  |            | Section V began: 10:04 a.m.   |
|   |            |   |
|   | <b>X</b> 7 | DETITION FOR DEVIEW   |
| 118   | V.         | PETITION FOR REVIEW   |
| 118<br>119  | V.         |   |
| 118<br>119<br>120   | V.         | <u>PETITION FOR REVIEW</u><br>a. Nova Southeastern University   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121  | V.         | a. Nova Southeastern University   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122   | v.         | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova  |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123  | v.         | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student  |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124   | v.         | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125  | v.         | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty<br>in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to  |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126   | v.         | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty<br>in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to<br>withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the  |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127  | V.         | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty<br>in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to<br>withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the<br>petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128   | v.         | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty<br>in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to<br>withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the<br>petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris<br>indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129  | <b>v</b> . | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty<br>in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to<br>withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the<br>petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris<br>indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of<br>December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to  |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130   | <b>v</b> . | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty<br>in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to<br>withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the<br>petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris<br>indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of<br>December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to<br>waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130<br>131  | <b>v</b> . | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty<br>in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to<br>withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the<br>petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris<br>indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of<br>December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to<br>waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the<br>Nova Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130<br>131<br>132   | v.         | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty<br>in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to<br>withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the<br>petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris<br>indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of<br>December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to<br>waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the<br>Nova Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association<br>Association. Mr. Harris indicated that all other associations participating in the petition  |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130<br>131<br>132<br>133  | <b>v</b> . | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty<br>in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to<br>withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the<br>petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris<br>indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of<br>December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to<br>waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the<br>Nova Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130<br>131<br>132<br>133<br>134   | <b>v</b> . | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty<br>in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to<br>withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the<br>petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris<br>indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of<br>December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to<br>waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the<br>Nova Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association<br>Association. Mr. Harris indicated that all other associations participating in the petition<br>must submit a 90 day waiver within 5 days or the petition will be denied.   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130<br>131<br>132<br>133<br>134<br>135                                    | <b>v</b> . | <ul> <li>a. Nova Southeastern University</li> <li>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the Nova Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association Association. Mr. Harris indicated that all other associations participating in the petition must submit a 90 day waiver within 5 days or the petition will be denied.</li> <li>Action: Motion to table the petition for reconsideration to the March 2018 meeting made</li> </ul>   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130<br>131<br>132<br>133<br>134<br>135<br>136                             | <b>v</b> . | a. Nova Southeastern University<br>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova<br>Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student<br>Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty<br>in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to<br>withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the<br>petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris<br>indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of<br>December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to<br>waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the<br>Nova Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association<br>Association. Mr. Harris indicated that all other associations participating in the petition<br>must submit a 90 day waiver within 5 days or the petition will be denied.   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130<br>131<br>132<br>133<br>134<br>135<br>136<br>137                      | <b>v</b> . | <ul> <li>a. Nova Southeastern University</li> <li>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the Nova Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association Association. Mr. Harris indicated that all other associations participating in the petition must submit a 90 day waiver within 5 days or the petition will be denied.</li> <li>Action: Motion to table the petition for reconsideration to the March 2018 meeting made by Mr. Griffin. Second by Dr. Spear.</li> </ul>  |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130<br>131<br>132<br>133<br>134<br>135<br>136<br>137<br>138               | <b>v</b> . | <ul> <li>a. Nova Southeastern University</li> <li>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the Nova Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association Association. Mr. Harris indicated that all other associations participating in the petition must submit a 90 day waiver within 5 days or the petition will be denied.</li> <li>Action: Motion to table the petition for reconsideration to the March 2018 meeting made</li> </ul>   |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130<br>131<br>132<br>133<br>134<br>135<br>136<br>137<br>138<br>139        | <b>v</b> . | <ul> <li>a. Nova Southeastern University</li> <li>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the Nova Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association Association. Mr. Harris indicated that all other associations participating in the petition must submit a 90 day waiver within 5 days or the petition will be denied.</li> <li>Action: Motion to table the petition for reconsideration to the March 2018 meeting made by Mr. Griffin. Second by Dr. Spear.</li> <li>Vote: 6 yeas/0 opposed. Motion carried.</li> </ul>                                       |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130<br>131<br>132<br>133<br>134<br>135<br>136<br>137<br>138<br>139<br>140 | <b>v</b> . | <ul> <li>a. Nova Southeastern University</li> <li>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the Nova Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association Association. Mr. Harris indicated that all other associations participating in the petition must submit a 90 day waiver within 5 days or the petition will be denied.</li> <li>Action: Motion to table the petition for reconsideration to the March 2018 meeting made by Mr. Griffin. Second by Dr. Spear.</li> <li>Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.</li> <li>Section V ended: 10:58 a.m.</li> </ul> |
| 118<br>119<br>120<br>121<br>122<br>123<br>124<br>125<br>126<br>127<br>128<br>129<br>130<br>131<br>132<br>133<br>134<br>135<br>136<br>137<br>138<br>139        | <b>v</b> . | <ul> <li>a. Nova Southeastern University</li> <li>Ms. Virginia Dailey presented information on the merits of the petition on behalf of Nova Southeastern University and Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association (SGA). Discussion ensued. Board members expressed difficulty in determining approval of the petition. Dr. Spear suggested for Nova Southeastern to withdraw the petition and await a legislative change. Mr. Griffin concluded that the petition was incomplete and needed further review to establish standing. Mr. Harris indicated the rule proposals for chapter 64B13-4.001 should be effective by the end of December and 64B13-4.004 should be effective by mid-January. Ms. Dailey agreed to waive the 90 day time frame for Board action for Nova Southeastern University and the Nova Southeastern University College of Optometry Student Government Association Association. Mr. Harris indicated that all other associations participating in the petition must submit a 90 day waiver within 5 days or the petition will be denied.</li> <li>Action: Motion to table the petition for reconsideration to the March 2018 meeting made by Mr. Griffin. Second by Dr. Spear.</li> <li>Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.</li> </ul>                                      |

| 143 | VI.  | RATIFICATION LIST:  |
|-----|------|---|
| 144 |      |   |
| 145 |      | a. Licensure  |
| 146 |      |   |
| 147 |      | i. Optometrist  |
| 148 |      |   |
| 149 |      | Action: Motion to ratify licensees made by Dr. King. Second by Mr. Griffin.             |
| 150 |      | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.  |
| 151 |      |   |
| 152 |      | ii. Optometry Ratifications Certificate   |
| 153 |      |   |
| 154 |      | Action: Motion to ratify licensees made by Dr. Maule. Second by Dr. Kepley.             |
| 155 |      |   |
| 156 |      | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.  |
| 157 |      |   |
| 158 |      | b. Continuing Education   |
| 159 |      |   |
| 160 |      | i. Providers & Courses approved by CE Chair Report                                      |
| 161 |      |   |
| 162 |      | Action: Motion to ratify providers and courses made by Dr. Maule. Second by Dr. Kepley. |
| 163 |      | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.  |
| 164 |      | voter o yeas, o opposed. Notion carried.  |
| 165 |      | ii. Providers & Courses approved by Board Staff Report                                  |
| 166 |      | n. Troviders de Courses approved by Dourd Starr Report                                  |
| 167 |      | Action: Motion to ratify providers and courses made by Dr. Maule. Second by Dr. King.   |
| 168 |      | Action: Motion to fairly providers and courses made by D1. Madre. Second by D1. King.   |
| 169 |      | <b>Vote:</b> 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.   |
| 170 |      | vole. O yeas o opposed. Motion earlied.   |
| 171 |      | Section VI ended: 11:01 a.m.  |
| 172 |      | Section VII began: 11:01 a.m.   |
| 173 |      | Section vir began. 11.01 a.m.   |
|     |      |   |
| 174 | VII. | CONTINUING EDUCATION  |
| 175 |      |   |
| 176 |      | a. University of Houston College of Optometry   |
| 177 |      |   |
| 178 |      | i. Course #20-609946 – Common and Not So Common   |
|     |      |   |
| 179 |      | ii. Course #20-609944 – Anterior Segment Quandaries                                     |
| 180 |      |   |
| 181 |      | Dr. Maule explained that the courses were not submitted for approval prior to being     |
| 182 |      | provided. The provider failed to comply with requirements.                              |
| 183 |      |   |
| 184 |      | Action: Motion to deny courses 20-609946 and 20-609944 made by Dr. Kepley. Second       |
| 185 |      | by Dr. Maule.   |
| 186 |      |   |
| 187 |      | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.  |
| 188 |      |   |
| 189 |      | Section VII ended: 11:05 a.m.   |
| 190 |      | Section VIII began: 11:05 a.m.  |
| 191 |      |   |

| 192        | VIII.       | PROSECUTION REPORT:  |
|------------|-------------|--|
| 193        |             |  |
| 194        |             | Ms. Sykes presented the prosecution statistics as follows:                                 |
| 195        |             |  |
| 196        |             | 22 Open disciplinary cases   |
|            |             |  |
| 197        |             | 13 cases older than one year   |
| 198        |             | 10 probable cause cases (3 with probable cause found)                                      |
| 199        |             | 7 under legal review   |
| 200        |             |  |
| 201        |             | Action: Motion to continue prosecution on cases older than one (1) year made by Dr.        |
| 202        |             | King. Second by Dr. Maule.   |
| 203        |             |  |
| 204        |             | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.   |
| 205        |             |  |
| 206        |             | Section VIII ended: 11:08 a.m.   |
| 207        |             | Section IX began: 11:08 a.m.   |
| 208        | <b>TX</b> / |  |
| 209        | IX.         | CHAIR/VICE CHAIR REPORT:   |
| 210        |             | i National Baard of Evaniners in Ontematry   |
| 211<br>212 |             | i. National Board of Examiners in Optometry  |
| 212        |             | Dr. Kaplan explained that the NBEO was going to be adding additional skills to part three  |
| 213        |             | (3) of the national examination such as clinical decision making, cranial nerve            |
| 215        |             | assessment, amsler grid testing, and undilated 90D exam.                                   |
| 216        |             | ussessment, unister grid testing, and ununded yob exam.                                    |
| 217        |             | Section IX ended: 11:09 a.m.   |
| 218        |             | Section X began: 11:09 a.m.  |
| 219        |             |  |
| 220        | X.          | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT:   |
| 221        |             |  |
| 222        |             | i. Annual Delegation of Authority  |
| 223        |             |  |
| 224        |             | Dr. Spivey referred the board to review the delegation of authority. Mr. Griffin expressed |
| 225        |             | concerns over category three (3) felonies and recommended that all felonies be reviewed    |
| 226        |             | by the board.  |
| 227        |             |  |
| 228        |             | Action: Motion to require board review of all felonies made by Mr. Griffin. Second by      |
| 229        |             | Dr. Kepley.  |
| 230<br>231 |             | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.   |
| 231        |             | vole. 0 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.   |
| 233        |             | Action: Motion to revise the conviction record guidelines in the delegation of authority   |
| 234        |             | made by Mr. Griffin. Second by Dr. Maule.  |
| 235        |             |  |
| 236        |             | <b>Vote:</b> 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.  |
| 237        |             | - ••   |
| 238        |             | Section X ended: 11:15 a.m.  |
| 239        |             | Section XI began: 11:15 a.m.   |
| 240        |             |  |

| 241 | XI. | BOARD COUNSEL REPORT:  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 242 |     |  |
| 243 |     | i. Rules Report for September 2017   |
| 244 |     | ii. Rules Report for October 2017  |
| 245 |     | iii. Rules Report for November 2017  |
| 246 |     | •  |
| 247 |     | iv. <u>Rule 64B13-4.001</u>  |
| 248 |     |  |
| 249 |     | a. Notice of Proposed Rule   |
| 250 |     |  |
| 251 |     | Mr. Harris explained that the proposal to delete the 7 year look back provision was        |
| 252 |     | effective in September. Additional changes to the rule were proposed on October 24,        |
| 253 |     | 2017. There was a twenty-one (21) day statutory period for comments and there were         |
| 254 |     | none. Mr. Harris indicated the board may proceed in adopting the rule.                     |
| 255 |     |  |
| 256 |     | v. <u>Rule 64B13-4.004</u>   |
| 257 |     |  |
| 258 |     | a. Notice of Proposed Rule   |
| 259 |     | b. Copy of Form 1128   |
| 260 |     | c. Copy of JAPC correspondence   |
| 261 |     | d. Correspondence from Joseph Neville  |
| 262 |     |  |
| 263 |     | Mr. Harris addressed the comments made on the rule and suggested revising the licensure    |
| 264 |     | application to include questions related to disqualification. Mr. Harris explained the     |
| 265 |     | purpose of a license verification form and provided interpretation of accredited optometry |
| 266 |     | schools. Mr. Harris explained that the board could authorize the filing of a notice of     |
| 267 |     | change for the licensure application or he could request a technical change to avoid       |
| 268 |     | having to republish.   |
| 269 |     | nuting to republish.   |
| 270 |     | Action: Motion to request board counsel to formally reply to the comments and attempt      |
| 271 |     | to execute the technical change made by Mr. King. Second by Dr. Kepley.                    |
| 272 |     | to execute the teeninear change made by ivit. Iting, become by D1. Repley.                 |
| 273 |     | Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.   |
| 274 |     | volte. O yeas, o opposed. Motion carried.  |
| 275 |     | COMMITTEE REPORTS:   |
| 276 |     | COMMITTEE REFORTS.   |
| 277 |     | a. Budget – Dr. Kepley   |
| 278 |     | a. Dudget - DI. Keptey   |
| 279 |     | Nothing to report  |
| 280 |     | Nothing to report  |
| 280 |     | b. Continuing Education – Dr. Maule  |
|     |     | D. Continuing Education – DI. Madie  |
| 282 |     | No.1. in a far man and   |
| 283 |     | Nothing to report  |
| 284 |     |  |
| 285 |     | c. Complaints, Investigation, and Enforcement Liaison – Dr. Kaplan                         |
| 286 |     |  |
| 287 |     | Nothing to report  |
| 288 |     |  |
| 289 |     | d. Disciplinary Compliance – Dr. Kaplan  |
| 290 |     |  |
| 291 |     | Nothing to report  |
|     |     | 7  |
|     |     |  |

| 292 |       | e. Examination – Dr. King   |
|-----|-------|---|
| 293 |       |   |
| 294 |       | Dr. King reminded the board of their current agreement with the NBEO (National          |
| 295 |       | Board of Examiners of Optometry) that expires in August of 2018. Dr. Kepley plans       |
| 296 |       | to visit NBEO in the near future.   |
| 297 |       |   |
| 298 |       | f. FOA – Dr. Kaplan   |
| 299 |       |   |
|     |       | Nathing to report   |
| 300 |       | Nothing to report   |
| 301 |       |   |
| 302 |       | g. Healthiest Weight – Dr. King   |
| 303 |       |   |
| 304 |       | Nothing to report   |
| 305 |       |   |
| 306 |       | h. Legislative – Dr. Kaplan   |
| 307 |       | 5 I   |
| 308 |       | Nothing to report   |
| 309 |       | Notifing to report  |
|     |       | : Drohahla Causa Dr. Snaar  |
| 310 |       | i. Probable Cause - Dr. Spear   |
| 311 |       |   |
| 312 |       | Nothing to report   |
| 313 |       |   |
| 314 |       | j. Probationary – Dr. Kaplan  |
| 315 |       |   |
| 316 |       | Nothing to report   |
| 317 |       |   |
| 318 |       | k. Rules – Dr. Kaplan   |
| 319 |       |   |
| 320 |       | Nothing to report   |
|     |       | Nothing to report   |
| 321 |       |   |
| 322 |       | I. Unlicensed Activity – Dr. Maule  |
| 323 |       |   |
| 324 |       | Nothing to report   |
| 325 |       |   |
| 326 |       | Section XI ended 11:39 a.m.   |
| 327 |       | Section XII began: 11:39 a.m.   |
| 328 |       |   |
| 329 | XII.  | OLD BUSINESS:   |
| 330 |       |   |
| 331 |       | Dr. King requested that Mr. Harris address the rulemaking for 64B13-5.001 and 64B13-    |
| 332 |       | 5.002. Mr. Harris concluded that proposed language will be drafted and addressed at the |
| 333 |       | March 2018 board meeting. Dr. Maule indicated her term as a board member will end       |
| 334 |       | soon.   |
| 335 |       |   |
| 336 |       | Section XII ended: 11:40 a.m.   |
| 337 |       | Section XIII began: 11:41 a.m.  |
| 338 |       |   |
| 339 | XIII. | <u>NEW BUSINESS</u> :   |
| 340 |       |   |
|     |       |   |

- 341 Dr. Spear sought clarification of the CE Broker reporting process. Dr. Maule explained
  342 that licensees may self-submit continuing education courses, but may not self-submit
  343 transcript quality courses.
- 345Section XIII ended: 11:46 a.m.346Section XIV began: 11:47 a.m.
- 346 Section XIV began: 11:47 a.m. 347

# 348 XIV. <u>NEXT MEETING DATE</u>:

a. March 9, 2018

344

349

351

354

356

362

363

368

370

352Section XIV ended: 11:47 a.m.353Section XV began: 11:47 a.m.

### 355 XV. <u>PUBLIC COMMENTS</u>

- a. Phillip DeSantis, OD
- 358b. Zenfira Vincent
- 359 c. Lindsay Agro
- 360 d. Kathy Perez 361

### Section XV ended: 11:48 a.m. Section XVI began: 11:48 a.m.

#### 364 365 **XVI.** <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

- 366367 Action: Motion to Adjourn made by Dr. Maule. Second by Dr. Kepley
- **Vote:** 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.
- 371 Section XVI ended: 11:48 a.m.